







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR William M. Hammesfahr	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,546	/841,546 04/23/2001			003BUS		
26830	7590	01/29/2003				
		WILLSON JR	EXAMINER			
3205 HARV STE 200	3205 HARVEST MOON DR STE 200			JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J		
PALM HAF	BOR, FL	34683-2127		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3737		
				DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/841,546	HAMMESFAHR
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Jaworski Francis J.	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron to cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> .	July 2001 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-38</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-38</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	• ,	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		D. A. (Desert)

Application/Control Number: 09/841546

Art Unit: 3737

The Substitute Specification filed 07-02-01 has been entered to the record

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 32 - 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 32, the language expressing a range of per cents of 'conventional dosage' is vague and indefinite insofar as individual patients vary widely in weight and sensitivity and side effects to such vasodilatory drugs that a 'conventional dosage' is not a definite term unless referenced to some standard.

With respect to claim 35, it is unclear what constitute 'Nitroglycerin equivalents', moreover parenthesized terms within claims are understood to be non-limiting. Returning to the former point, specification page 5 lines 4 - 7 defines certain such equivalents. Thereafter a broad variety of drugs are recited up to page 7 line 15 which references an additional appendix. Yet it is unclear if these are 'Nitroglycerin equivalents' in applicant's art-defined sense or if applicant is proferring that all of these listed medications are patent language equivalents for purposes of claims construal.

With respect to claims 36 and 37 the claims scope is wholly unclear. This case originally contained only claims 1 - 20, all cancelled per instructions in the Preliminary Amendment filed

Art Unit: 3737

0

"

The Substitute Specification filed 07-02-01 has been entered to the record

April 23, 2001 to cancel all prior claims. Therefore there is no claim 21 and no claim construable as being the parent claim to these claims for purposes of understanding their method scope.

With respect to claim 38, the preamble pertains to a 'titration system' whereas the body of the claim recites only method steps non-limiting on the titration system hence the scope is wholly unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 32 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panoz (US4592753) in view of Stanley et al (US4885173). The former teaches the use of a patch (col. 1 lines 33 68) of 2% nitroglycerin or clonidine ytransdermal delivery system for administration of these vasodilatory agents (col. 4 lines 7-13) including treatment of systemic diseases such as hypertension. It would have been obvious to provide usage/dosage instructions with a potent prescription drug, and for example user instructions are provided, see col. 2 lines 22 24 and 5 lines 14 16. It would have been obvious in view of Stanley et al cols.5 6 and col. 8 lines 29 37 to titrate a vasodilator dosage in view of the patent's statements and side-effects stated.

Application/Control Number: 09/841546

Page 2/ +

Art Unit: 3737

The Substitute Specification filed 07-02-01 has been entered to the record.

Renumbering of claims in consecutive order per Rule 126 has been held in abeyance pending understanding of status of claims 21-31 and antecedent reference made to claim 21 in the current claims.

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner francis J. Jaworski at telephone number 703-308-3061...

Primary Lugaritor

FJJ:fjj